



Audit and Standards Committee Report

Report of: Kayleigh Inman, Senior Finance Manager (Internal Audit)

Date: 12th April 2018

Subject: Internal Audit Tactical Plan 2018/19

Author of Report: Kayleigh Inman

Summary:

The report presents the Internal Audit planning methodology and programme of work for 2018/19.

Recommendations:

In respect of the provision of the statutory Internal Audit function and in order to comply with best professional practice (including PSIAS Standards) it is recommended that Members endorse the attached programme of work for 2018/19.

Background Papers:

Category of Report: Open

* Delete as appropriate

Statutory and Council Policy Checklist

Financial Implications
No Cleared by: Kayleigh Inman
Legal Implications
NO Cleared by:
Equality of Opportunity Implications
NO Cleared by:
Tackling Health Inequalities Implications
NO
Human rights Implications
NO:
Environmental and Sustainability implications
NO
Economic impact
NO
Community safety implications
NO
Human resources implications
NO
Property implications
NO
Area(s) affected
Corporate
Relevant Scrutiny Committee if decision called in
Not applicable
Is the item a matter which is reserved for approval by the City Council?
NO
Press release
NO

REPORT TO SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL AUDIT AND STANDARDS COMMITTEE 12th April 2018

Senior Finance Manager Report – 2018/19 Work Programme

Purpose of the Report

1. The purpose of this report is to present and communicate to members of the Audit and Standards Committee the internal audit plan for 2018/19.

Background

2. The strategy for Internal Audit is to focus on areas of high-risk activity in order to provide independent assurance that risk and internal control systems are being properly managed by Directors in service areas.
3. The plan has been developed to ensure sufficient coverage across the Council to inform the overall internal audit opinion, required as part of the Accounts and Audit Regulations. Management are asked to contribute to the planning process, however the plan and its contents are entirely the responsibility of Internal Audit.
4. Given the current and forecast volume of change for services across the Council, an allocation of internal audit resource has been re-assigned from assurance work to offer advice and guidance as change projects occur – we have entitled this **Internal Audit Business Partnering**. By reassigning some of the available resource, we are aiming to take a pro-active, supportive role to assist services in embedding change. It is vital that portfolios engage with Internal Audit to maximise the use of this resource.
5. The balance of assurance and business partnering work to be undertaken will be reviewed during the year and prior to each annual audit plan. Once major changes are embedded, it is expected that the levels of assurance work will increase, whilst the business partnering reduces.

Planning Methodology

6. In order to plan for the use of Internal Audit's resources, the approach is structured to give consideration to the following:
 - Utilisation of the corporate risk management process including the corporate risk register and portfolio risk management plans.
 - Utilisation of the information provided by Directors under the Annual Governance Statement (AGS) process.
 - Areas of highest perceived risk as determined by the Chief Executive/Executive Management Team (EMT)/Executive Director -

Resources/Director of Finance and Commercial Services/Heads of Service within Finance /Senior Finance Managers/ Finance Managers.

- Liaison with and learning from other Core Cities and more general best professional practice.
- The outcomes from the internal audit risk-scoring methodology.

Utilisation of the Corporate Risk Management Arrangements

7. The current risk management process requires service areas to consider risks and either manage and mitigate risks or escalate them up through a process to leadership teams and/or EMT. The information contained within the corporate risk register and portfolio service risk management plans provide a broad range of risks facing the council and identifies risk controls, costs, escalation process etc. A number of the higher risk rating entries on the registers/risk management plans have been included in the audit plan.

Utilisation of the Annual Governance Statement

8. The process for collating information for the production of the AGS is managed by Legal and Governance. The AGS returns to which Directors submit and sign up to provide a wealth of information on how some of the most important internal control arrangements are managed within services. Finance Managers (Internal Audit) review this information when identifying areas for the audit plan. In addition Internal Audit will perform a review of the annual governance statement process to provide assurance that it operates effectively.

Structure of the Plan

9. The format of the tactical plan remains the same as last year, with sections for each portfolio (which includes the business partnering allocation), a section for corporate reviews and BCIS (ICT) audits and then resources dedicated to fraud work and statutory main financial system reviews.
10. Each planned auditable area has been reviewed to determine which governance themes from the AGS will be covered within the scope. More than one theme may be included within the scope of a single audit. All AGS themes are covered to varying degrees and this will help to support the internal audit opinion on the control environment which is provided to the Audit and Standards Committee annually in September.

Fraud Allegations (Re-active investigations)

11. An allocation of time is included in the plan to provide for the investigation of allegations of fraud, theft and corruption. Some investigations are carried out directly by Internal Audit and for others Internal Audit provides support to management for them to carry out their own reviews.

Pro-active Counter Fraud Work

12. The National Fraud Initiative (NFI), under the remit of the Cabinet Office, has grown in recent years and will probably continue to expand. It is no longer exclusively focused on housing benefit fraud, as new areas of scrutiny continue to be added e.g. most recently tenancy fraud and abuse of the blue badge scheme.
13. Internal Audit continues to administer the system and oversee the submission of data for the NFI. 2018/19 is primarily a data submission year, and so Internal Audit will be ensuring all relevant services receive and understand the data specifications and submit the required data by the given deadlines. This involves ensuring compliance with Fair Processing arrangements. Data matches will be provided by the Cabinet Office in February 2019, and so preliminary work will begin on the matched data in quarter 4.
14. In addition to the above Internal Audit has four pieces of proactive fraud work planned. These reviews look at activities that are more susceptible, by the nature of what they encompass, to fraud. Internal Audit exam each activity's overall fraud risks to ensure that all of the areas of fraud have been identified. These exercises have been successful in identifying irregularities and weak/inconsistent controls and management arrangements. The work on proactive fraud may subsequently lead to more specific case investigations. Flexibility is therefore required in the use of the fraud investigation resource. Nevertheless, in the event that the volume of fraud allegations increases or a large scale investigation becomes necessary, resources will be transferred from other areas of the internal audit plan.
15. In 2017/18 work began to refresh the fraud awareness e-learning course and this will be launched and added to the Sheffield Development Hub in the early part of 2018/19.

Main Financial Systems (MFS)

16. Internal Audit reviews the key financial systems of the Council every year, and this aspect of the work of Internal Audit is crucial in supporting the S151 officer responsibilities. External Audit place reliance on the soundness of the MFS and will take a level assurance from the work undertaken by Internal Audit.
17. From April 2018, Internal Audit are introducing a cyclical testing regime for the MFS reviews, which will involve a full system review in year 1 followed by transaction testing, which meets External Audit requirements, in year 2.

Risk Based Audits of Systems/Services/Functions in each Portfolio

18. The resource not utilised on the above elements is devoted to undertaking reviews of the areas of most perceived risk as identified by Internal Audit in consultation with key officers i.e. principally the Executive Director - Resources/Director of Finance and Commercial/Executive Directors and Directors.
19. At the beginning of each audit assignment the relevant Service Manager will also be consulted to ensure that current risk areas are included in the remit for the work.

Internal Audit Business Partnering

20. Given the current and forecast volume of change for services across the Council, an allocation of internal audit resource has been re-assigned from assurance work to offer advice and guidance as change projects occur – we have entitled this **Internal Audit Business Partnering**. By reassigning some of the available resource, we are aiming to take a pro-active, supportive role to assist services in embedding change. It is vital that portfolios engage early with Internal Audit to maximise the use of this resource.
21. Internal Audit will liaise with the Business Change Team to obtain a wider view of what is occurring across the Council and where Internal Audit can add value to key change activity. The Executive Management Team have endorsed the level of resource in the Business Partner allocation, and committed to make use of this resource pro-actively.
22. It is proposed that the allocation of resource dedicated to Internal Audit Business Partnering will be reviewed at the mid-year point to establish if this resource is being used effectively. If there is a significant remaining

allocation at mid-year, consideration will be given to re-directing this back to the assurance audits from the first call list.

Summary of the Audit Plan

23. The following represents the summary of the planned audit time for the current year.

Auditable Area		Days	% of the total
Corporate Reviews (incl AGS, Risk Man't)		38	2%
Place	Assurance	219	15%
	Business Partnering	43	3%
People	Assurance	280	19%
	Business Partnering	37	3%
Resources	Assurance	393	27%
	Business Partnering	40	3%
Main Financial Systems		146	10%
Investigations (*exc reactive allocation)	Reactive, Proactive Fraud and Benefits	245	17%
	Business Partnering	15	1%
Total		1456	100%

Assessed Priority	Outputs
High Priority	65
Medium Priority	24
Low Priority	1*
Statutory	10
Total	100

*Schools' annual report which combines the themed reviews into a composite report for all maintained schools.

24. Attention is also drawn to the first call list (last page of the plan), which highlights the reviews identified during the planning process which will not be completed in 2018/19. Every effort has been made to add medium priority reviews (scoring less than 500) to the first call list, and maintain all the high priority reviews in the plan. There are 3 high priority reviews on first call however, for all of these there are service-based reasons why an audit review cannot be undertaken in 19/20.

25. The first call list is effectively the 18 month audit plan, as reviews included on first call will be included for review in the early part of 19/20, assuming they are still relevant.
26. The 2018/19 annual plan is attached at Appendix 1.

Future Considerations

27. Throughout the coming year, Internal Audit will evaluate the plan to ensure we are directing internal audit resources at the main risks facing the authority.
28. It is recommended in the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards that any significant changes to the plan are also reported to the Audit and Standards Committee. As a result, Internal Audit have defined 'significant' as a 15% change to the audits ratified in the April 2018 meeting, and in the event of this level of change, will report to the November Committee.
29. Any reduction or redirection of the Internal Audit Business Partnering resource will also be reported to the Audit and Standards Committee.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

30. There are no direct financial implications arising from the report.

EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES IMPLICATIONS

31. There are no equal opportunities implications arising from the report.

CONCLUSION

32. The audit plan summarises a risk based programme of work which demonstrates that the Council has made provision to discharge its (and officers) statutory responsibilities.

RECOMMENDATION

33. In respect of the provision of the statutory internal audit function and in order to comply with best professional practice it is recommended that members endorse the attached programme of work for 2018/19.